A simple data assimilation approach for constraining global scale modeled GPP using GOME2 SIF data

> Natasha MacBean Indiana University

Fabienne Maignan, Cédric Bacour, Philip Lewis, Luis Guanter, Philipp Köhler, Philippe Peylin, José Gomez-Dans, Mat Disney

Outline

> Motivation for this research

- ORCHIDEE Data Assimilation System (ORCHIDAS) and past studies
- > SIF DA set-up and results
- > Perspectives and future directions...

Remaining uncertainty in terrestrial carbon sink



How important are carbon-climate feedbacks?

- Earth System Model (ESM) sensitivity analysis 1 model & 1 scenario (HadCM3 – SRES-A1B)
- Altered atmospheric physics parameters
- Compared to terrestrial carbon cycle parameters



Remaining uncertainty in current model estimates and future projections – gross C uptake (GPP)

Mean annual GPP (gCm⁻²yr¹ 1990-2009)



- Same climate forcing & vegetation map
- Different GPP spatial distribution
- Different GPP magnitude

Anav et al. (2015)

= Uncertainty due to model processes/parameters

200

Remaining uncertainty in current model estimates and future projections – *leaf phenology*



Seasonal leaf dynamics (Leaf Area Index – LAI) at Morgan Monroe Forest



Richardson et al. (2012)

What is a land surface/terrestrial biosphere model?



http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/models/clm/

Improving models | Reducing uncertainty → the model development cycle

Model application



Adapted from Williams et al. (2009)

Improving models | Reducing uncertainty → the model development cycle

Model application



Outline

> Motivation for this research

ORCHIDEE Data Assimilation System (ORCHIDAS) and past studies

- > SIF DA set-up and results
- Perspectives and future directions...

ORCHIDEE terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs)



Natasha MacBean – OCO-2 Telecon – 16th October 2018

Reducing uncertainty: the need for model – data integration

Available C-related data streams





Reducing uncertainty: the need for model – data integration

Available C-related data streams



Global Data Assimilation System – ORCHIDEE LSM



https://orchidas.lsce.ipsl.fr

Global Data Assimilation System – ORCHIDEE LSM



Satellite NDVI to constrain leaf seasonal dynamics





- Satellite NDVI compared to modeled fraction of absorbed photosynthetic radiation (FAPAR)
- \succ FAPAR \rightarrow LAI via Beer Lambert Law
- 4 6 parameters per plant functional type (PFT)

N. MacBean et al. (2015) Using satellite data to improve the leaf phenology of a global Terrestrial Biosphere Model, Biogeosciences, 12, 7185-7208

Satellite NDVI to constrain leaf seasonal dynamics



> 15 random grid points per PFT

N. MacBean et al. (2015) Using satellite data to improve the leaf phenology of a global Terrestrial Biosphere Model, Biogeosciences, 12, 7185-7208

Improvement in modeled leaf senescence...



N. MacBean et al. (2015) Using satellite data to improve the leaf phenology of a global Terrestrial Biosphere Model, Biogeosciences, 12, 7185-7208

Dramatic reduction in growing season length



N. MacBean et al. (2015) Using satellite data to improve the leaf phenology of a global Terrestrial Biosphere Model, Biogeosciences, 12, 7185-7208

But not much change in GPP magnitude...



Constraining "fast" processes using FLUXNET data





- ➤ NEE (and LE) → not gross C fluxes
- optimized "fast" Crelated parameters (photosynthesis, respiration), phenology, water stress & some energy balance
- ➤ ~60 sites overall
- Improved fit to mean seasonal cycle

Kuppel et al. (2014) Model-data fusion across ecosystems: from multi-site optimizations to global simulations, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2581-2597

Outline

- > Motivation for this research
- ORCHIDEE Data Assimilation System (ORCHIDAS) and past studies
- > SIF DA set-up and results
- > Perspectives and future directions...

New data streams! Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF)



- Appears to be a linear relationship between SIF and GPP at large spatial (>0.5°) & temporal (~monthly) scales
- Slope dependent on vegetation type/structure
- Damm et al. (2015); Zhang et al. (2016); Goulas et al., (2017); Verma et al. (2017); Wood et al. (2017)



Guanter et al. (2012)

Optimization set-up

Assume simple empirical linear relationship between GPP and SIF:

SIF = a GPP + b

- Use GOME2 SIF data (Köhler et al., 2015)
 - monthly aggregated SIF, 0.5x0.5° resolution, 2007-2011
- Constrain 'a' and 'b' (slope and offset) parameters of linear GPP SIF relationship in addition to 6 photosynthesis and 9 phenology parameters for ALL vegetated PFTs
- ▶ 15 grid cells chosen randomly per PFT (where obs available) (fractional cover > 0.6)
 → all 12 vegetated PFTs = total 180 sites
- 12-16 parameters per PFT
- Multi-site optimization performed for each PFT
- Prior obs uncertainty (R) set to RMSE between model & data
- Parameter uncertainty (B) 40% of range
- ➢ Impact at global scale → global simulations following standard protocol (spinup + transient). Cf Jung et al. MTE-GPP



SIF data constraint reduces GPP magnitude





- Decrease in global GPP magnitude for all PFTs
- ...except for moisturedriven PFTs
- ➢ Highest decrease in NH extra tropics → shift in global GPP distribution
- Strong reduction in uncertainty (~83%)

| Region/PFT | Prior mean annual GPP (PgC) | Posterior mean annual GPP (PgC) | Reduction in annual GPP uncertainty (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Global | 194.4 | 165.6 | 82.8 |
| Temperate + boreal KG biome | 88.6 | 67.1 | 67 |
| Tropical KG biome | 92.2 | 86.1 | 93.4 |
| Arid KG biome | 13.6 | 12.4 | 88.9 |

Shifts in spatial distribution (important for global C sink)



MacBean, N. et al. (2018), Strong constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data, Scientific Reports, 8, 1973.

SIF data assimilation *does* reduce GPP magnitude



MacBean, N. et al. (2018), Strong constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data, Scientific Reports, 8, 1973.

Parameter constraint



Phenology



Parameter constraint





Linear SIF-GPP reln parameters





Parameter covariance



Comparison to NDVI and FLUXNET NEE



MacBean, N. et al. (2018), Strong constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data, Scientific Reports, 8, 1973.

Comparison to NDVI and FLUXNET NEE



MacBean, N. et al. (2018), Strong constraint on modelled global carbon uptake using solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence data, Scientific Reports, 8, 1973.

Annual time series and anomalies



Natasha MacBean – OCO-2 Telecon – 16th October 2018

SIF helps us constrain GPP global magnitude and spatial distribution



MacBean et al. (2015) - NDVI vs MacBean et al. (2018) - SIF.

Outline

- Motivation for this research
- ORCHIDEE Data Assimilation System (ORCHIDAS) and past studies
- > SIF DA set-up and results
- Perspectives and future directions...

Future work in the ORCHIDAS group... Implementation of SCOPE (and a note on model complexity)



Upcoming paper by Bacour et al.

van der Tol et al.

Challenges and progress in using multiple datasets to constrain models



Agricultural and Forest Meteorology

The potential benefit of using forest biomass data in addition to carbon and water flux measurements to constrain ecosystem model parameters: Case studies at two temperate forest sites

T. Thum^{a,*}, N. MacBean^b, P. Peylin^b, C. Bacour^c, D. Santaren^b, B. Longdoz^d, D. Loustau^e, P. Ciais^b





Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences

Joint assimilation of eddy covariance flux measurements and FAPAR products over temperate forests within a process-oriented biosphere model

C. Bacour^{1,2}, P. Peylin², N. MacBean², P. J. Rayner^{2,3}, F. Delage^{2,4}, F. Chevallier², M. Weiss⁵, J. Demarty^{5,6}, D. Santaren^{7,8}, F. Baret⁵, D. Berveiller⁹, E. Dufrêne⁹, and P. Prunet¹

Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 3569-3588, 2016

Consistent assimilation of multiple data streams in a carbon cycle data assimilation system

Natasha MacBean¹, Philippe Peylin¹, Frédéric Chevallier¹, Marko Scholze², and Gregor Schürmann³

Future work in the ORCHIDAS group... OCO-2 & TROPOMI? SIF + NDVI? SIF + LAI? SIF + PRI? SIF + COS? SIF + NEE?









Resolve any inconsistencies between SIF datasets and between SIF and other data *...and crucially -- with model !*

OCO-2

See upcoming paper by Bacour et al.

Different relationships across scales? → If so, how do we account for that in a process-based model and DA system?



Relationships across scales

Global scale questions also relevant at local scales

Ecosystem-level processes important for larger scales

Ongoing/future work using SIF in the ORCHIDEE group







New "gap fraction" model

ORCHIDEE-CAN: Naudts et al. (2015) Geosci. Model. Dev., 8, 2035-2065ß





New radiative transfer/albedo scheme

Otto et al. (2014) BG







Summary

- Revised simulated global GPP budget consistent with ORCHIDEE structure (by optimising parameters as well as SIF – GPP relationship)
- SIF appears to provide stronger (parameter) constraint on GPP than NDVI or FLUXNET
- ➢ Most constraint on magnitude (peak) and shorter GSL in NH → change in global GPP distribution
- > No considerable change in trends on IAV
- Lots of work still to be done, especially regarding consistencies between datasets.

Thank you for listening! Any questions?



European Space Agency



https://macbeanlab.com/ nmacbean@indiana.edu



Cédric Bacour cedric.bacour@lsce.ipsl.fr



Fabienne Maignan maignan@lsce.ipsl.fr



Philippe Peylin peylin@lsce.ipsl.fr

